arXiv:1603.02465v1 [astro-ph.HE] 8 Mar 2016

Recent Trends in the Study of Compact Objects (RETCO-II): Theory and Observation
ASI Conference Series, 2015, Vol. 12, pp 1–**??**
Edited by Indranil Chattopadhyay, Anuj Nandi, Santabrata Das and Samir Mandal

Core-Collapse supernovae and its progenitors

Subhash Bose*, Brijesh Kumar and Kuntal Misra
Aryabhatta Research Institute of observation sciencES, Manora Peak, Nainital 263002, India

Received — ; accepted —

Abstract. Massive stars unable to sustain gravitational collapse, at the end of nuclear burning stage, turns out into core-collapse supernovae, leaving behind compact objects like neutron stars or black holes. The progenitor properties like mass and metallicity primarily governs the explosion parameters and type of compact remnant. In this contribution we summarize observational study of three Core Collapse type IIP SNe 2012aw, 2013ab and 2013ej, which are rigorously observed from ARIES and other Indian observatories and discuss their progenitor and explosion properties.

Keywords : supernovae: individual: SN 2013ab, SN 2012aw, SN 2013ej

1. Introduction

Evolution of massive stars leads into core-collapse supernovae leaving behind compact objects like neutron stars and black holes. The properties of progenitor star (primarily the mass and metallicity) govern the parameters of explosion and the type of compact remnant. Type II core-collapse supernovae originate from stars with zero-age-main-sequence (ZAMS) mass $8 < M < 25 M_{\odot}$ (Heger et al. 2003; Eldridge & Tout 2004) and retains sufficient hydrogen at the time of explosion which is prominently visible in their spectra.

2. Summary of three CCSNe observed from ARIES

SNe 2012aw, 2013ab and 2013ej are thee bright CCSNe which has been rigorously observed, both photometrically and spectroscopically, from ARIES telescopes and other observatories across India including Himalayan Chandra telescope and IUCAA Girawali observatory. The light curves of SNe 2012aw and 2013ej are shown in Fig. 1.

*email: bose@aries.res.in



Figure 1. Light curves of SN 2012aw (left; Bose et al. 2013) and SN 2013ej (right; Bose et al. 2015b) in *UBVRI* bands are shown.

SNe 2012aw and 2013ab belongs to normal class of type IIP SNe, whereas SN 2013ej shows a steeper plateau phase resembling type IIL or intermediate slope SNe. Spectra of SNe 2012aw and 2013ej shows high velocity features in H I P-Cygni profiles from mid-plateau plateau onwards, which is a possible signature of ejecta-CSM interaction. The observations for these supernova has been used to perform hydrodynamical or semi-analytical modelling to estimate progenitor properties and explosion energy, the results are listed in Table 1. Hydrodynamical model yield more accurate result, while semi-analytical model has advantage of being less computational resource intensive. However, results from both models are consistent within the limit of errors.

Table 1. Progenitor properties and explosion energy estimated from modelling

Supernova	Mass $M_\odot$	Radius $R_\odot$	Energy 10^{51} ergs	Reference	Modelling type
SN 2012aw	22	430	1.5	Dall'Ora et al. (2014)	Hydrodynamical
SN 2013ab	9	600	0.35	Bose et al. (2015a)	Hydrodynamical
SN 3013ej	14	450	2.10	Bose et al. (2015b)	Semi-analytical

The estimated progenitor properties indicate that they all originate from red supergiants and stellar evolutionary models (e,g., Heger et al. 2003) suggests that stars of these mass range would leave behind neutron star as compact remnant.

References

Bose S., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1871
Bose S., et al., 2015a, MNRAS, 450, 2373
Bose S., et al., 2015b, ApJ, 806, 160
Dall'Ora M., et al., 2014, ApJ, 787, 139
Eldridge J. J., Tout C. A., 2004, MNRAS, 353, 87
Heger A., Fryer C. L., Woosley S. E., Langer N., Hartmann D. H., 2003, ApJ, 591, 288